

Map symbol and map unit name	Component	Hydric	Local landform	Hydric soils criteria				FSA Criteria and Information
				Hydric code	Meets satura- tion	Meets flood- ing	Meets ponding	Natural Condition of Soil
AddA: Avonburg silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	Avonburg	No	till plain	---	---	---	---	Wooded under natural conditions
	Cobbsfork	Yes	till plain, depression	2B3	YES	NO	NO	
	Nabb	No	till plain	---	---	---	---	
AddB2: Avonburg silt loam, 2 to 4 percent slopes, eroded	Avonburg	No	till plain	---	---	---	---	Wooded under natural conditions
	Cobbsfork	Yes	till plain, depression	2B3	YES	NO	NO	
	Nabb	No	till plain	---	---	---	---	
	Wakeland	No	flood plain, flood-plain step	---	---	---	---	
BbhA: Bartle silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	Bartle	No	stream terrace	---	---	---	---	Wooded under natural conditions
	Peoga	Yes	stream terrace	2B3	YES	NO	NO	
	Pekin	No	stream terrace	---	---	---	---	
	Bartle	No	stream terrace	---	---	---	---	
BcrAQ: Beanblossom silt loam, 1 to 3 percent slopes, rarely flooded	Beanblossom	No	alluvial fan, flood plain	---	---	---	---	
	Beanblossom	No	flood plain, alluvial fan	---	---	---	---	
	Wilbur	No	flood plain, flood-plain step	---	---	---	---	

Map symbol and map unit name	Component	Hydric	Local landform	Hydric soils criteria				FSA Criteria and Information
				Hydric code	Meets satura- tion	Meets flood- ing	Meets ponding	Natural Condition of Soil
BcrAW: Beanblossom silt loam, 1 to 3 percent slopes, occasionally	Beanblossom	No	flood plain, alluvial fan	---	---	---	---	

flooded, very brief duration								
	Wilbur	No	flood plain, flood-plain step	---	---	---	---	
	Beanblossom	No	flood plain	---	---	---	---	
	A deep, loamy soil	No	flood plain	---	---	---	---	
BdoA: Bedford silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	Bedford	No	hill	---	---	---	---	
	Crider	No	---	---	---	---	---	
BdoB: Bedford silt loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes	Bedford	No	hill	---	---	---	---	
	Crider	No	---	---	---	---	---	
BfbC2: Blocher, soft bedrock substratum-Weddel silt loams, 6 to 12 percent slopes, eroded	Blocher, soft bedrock substratum	No	till plain	---	---	---	---	
	Weddel	No	till plain	---	---	---	---	
	Blocher, soft bedrock substratum	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Weddel	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Coolville	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Wakeland	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Weddel	No	---	---	---	---	---	
BfcC3: Blocher, soft bedrock substratum-Weddel complex, 6 to 12 percent slopes, severely eroded	Blocher, soft bedrock substratum	No	till plain	---	---	---	---	
	Weddel	No	till plain	---	---	---	---	

NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION SERVICE

XXX

HYDRIC SOILS LIST--Continued
Clark County, Indiana

PAGE - 3 OF 34

Map symbol and map unit name	Component	Hydric	Local landform	Hydric soils criteria				FSA Criteria and Information
				Hydric code	Meets saturation	Meets flooding	Meets ponding	Natural Condition of Soil
	Blocher, soft bedrock substratum	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Weddel	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Coolville	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Wakeland	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Weddel	No	---	---	---	---	---	
BnyD3: Bonnell clay loam, 12 to 22 percent slopes, severely eroded	Bonnell	No	till plain	---	---	---	---	
	Bonnell	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Hickory	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Cincinnati	No	---	---	---	---	---	

	Blocher	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Holton	No	---	---	---	---	---	
BobE5: Bonnell-Hickory clay loams, 15 to 30 percent slopes, gullied	Bonnell	No	till plain	---	---	---	---	
	Hickory	No	till plain	---	---	---	---	
	Bonnell	No	till plain	---	---	---	---	
	Hickory	No	till plain	---	---	---	---	
	Cincinnati	No	till plain	---	---	---	---	
	Blocher	No	till plain	---	---	---	---	
	Trappist	No	---	---	---	---	---	
BodAW: Bonnie silt loam, 0 to 1 percent slopes, occasionally flooded, very brief duration	Bonnie	Yes	backswamp, flood plain	2B3	YES	NO	NO	Wooded under natural conditions
	Bonnie	Yes	backswamp	3,2B3	YES	NO	YES	Wooded under natural conditions
	Stendal	No	---	---	---	---	---	

NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION SERVICE

XXX

HYDRIC SOILS LIST--Continued
Clark County, Indiana

PAGE - 4 OF 34

Map symbol and map unit name	Component	Hydric	Local landform	Hydric soils criteria				FSA Criteria and Information
				Hydric code	Meets saturation	Meets flooding	Meets ponding	Natural Condition of Soil
	Bonnie	Yes	backswamp	2B3	YES	NO	NO	Wooded under natural conditions
BvoG: Brownstown-Gilwood silt loams, 25 to 75 percent slopes	Brownstown	No	hill, knob	---	---	---	---	
	Gilwood	No	hill, knob	---	---	---	---	
	Gilwood	No	hill	---	---	---	---	
	Wrays	No	hill	---	---	---	---	
	Beanblossom	No	flood plain	---	---	---	---	
	Shallow, well drained soil	No	hill, knob	---	---	---	---	
	Rock outcrop	No	free face	---	---	---	---	
CcaG: Caneyville-Rock outcrop complex, 25 to 60 percent slopes	Caneyville	No	hill	---	---	---	---	
	Rock outcrop	No	free face	---	---	---	---	
	Haggatt	No	hill	---	---	---	---	
	Crider	No	hill	---	---	---	---	
	Corydon	No	hill	---	---	---	---	
	Knobcreek	No	hill	---	---	---	---	
CkkB2: Cincinnati silt loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes, eroded	Cincinnati	No	till plain	---	---	---	---	

	Nabb	No	till plain	---	---	---	---	
	Blocher	No	till plain	---	---	---	---	
CldC2: Cincinnati-Blocher silt loams, 6 to 12 percent slopes, eroded	Cincinnati	No	till plain	---	---	---	---	
	Blocher	No	till plain	---	---	---	---	
	Cincinnati	No	till plain	---	---	---	---	
	Blocher	No	till plain	---	---	---	---	
	Wakeland	No	flood plain	---	---	---	---	

NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION SERVICE XXX

HYDRIC SOILS LIST--Continued
Clark County, Indiana

PAGE - 5 OF 34

Map symbol and map unit name	Component	Hydric	Local landform	Hydric soils criteria				FSA Criteria and Information
				Hydric code	Meets saturation	Meets flooding	Meets ponding	Natural Condition of Soil
	Bonnell	No	till plain	---	---	---	---	
CldC3: Cincinnati-Blocher silt loams, 6 to 12 percent slopes, severely eroded	Cincinnati	No	till plain	---	---	---	---	
	Blocher	No	till plain	---	---	---	---	
	Cincinnati	No	till plain	---	---	---	---	
	Blocher	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Wakeland	No	flood plain	---	---	---	---	
	Bonnell	No	---	---	---	---	---	
ClfA: Cobbsfork silt loam, 0 to 1 percent slopes	Cobbsfork	Yes	till plain, depression	2B3	YES	NO	NO	Wooded under natural conditions
	Cobbsfork	Yes	depression	2B3,3	YES	NO	YES	Wooded under natural conditions
	Avonburg	No	till plain	---	---	---	---	
ComC: Coolville silt loam, 6 to 12 percent slopes	Coolville	No	hill	---	---	---	---	
	Stonehead	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Coolville	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Rarden	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Stendal	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Weddel	No	---	---	---	---	---	
ConC3: Coolville-Rarden complex, 6 to 12 percent slopes, severely eroded	Coolville	No	hill	---	---	---	---	
	Rarden	No	hill	---	---	---	---	
	Coolville	No	hill	---	---	---	---	
	Stonehead	No	hill	---	---	---	---	

NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION SERVICE XXX

HYDRIC SOILS LIST--Continued
Clark County, Indiana

PAGE - 6 OF 34

Map symbol and map unit name	Component	Hydric	Local landform	Hydric soils criteria				FSA Criteria and Information
				Hydric code	Meets satura- tion	Meets flood- ing	Meets ponding	Natural Condition of Soil
ConD: Coolville-Rarden complex, 12 to 18 percent slopes	Coolville	No	hill	---	---	---	---	
	Rarden	No	hill	---	---	---	---	
	Kurtz	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Gnawbone	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Deam	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Coolville	No	hill	---	---	---	---	
CspA: Crider silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	Crider	No	hill	---	---	---	---	
	Ryker	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Bedford	No	---	---	---	---	---	
CspB2: Crider silt loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes, eroded	Crider	No	hill	---	---	---	---	
	Ryker	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Bedford	No	---	---	---	---	---	
CtrB2: Crider silt loam, karst, undulating, eroded	Crider	No	hill, sinkhole	---	---	---	---	
	Moderately well drained loamy soil	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Loamy alluvial soil	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Ryker	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Bedford	No	---	---	---	---	---	
CtwB: Crider-Bedford- Navilleton silt loams, 2 to 6 percent slopes	Crider	No	hill	---	---	---	---	
	Bedford	No	hill	---	---	---	---	
	Navilleton	No	hill	---	---	---	---	
	Knobcreek	No	hill	---	---	---	---	

NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION SERVICE

XXX

HYDRIC SOILS LIST--Continued
Clark County, Indiana

PAGE - 7 OF 34

Map symbol and map unit name	Component	Hydric	Local landform	Hydric soils criteria				FSA Criteria and Information
				Hydric code	Meets satura- tion	Meets flood- ing	Meets ponding	Natural Condition of Soil

CwaAQ:									
Cuba silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, rarely flooded	Cuba	No	flood-plain step	---	---	---	---		
	Steff	No	flood-plain step	---	---	---	---		
	Cuba	No	flood-plain step	---	---	---	---		
CxgC3:									
Crider-Haggatt complex, 6 to 12 percent slopes, severely eroded	Crider	No	hill	---	---	---	---		
	Haggatt	No	hill	---	---	---	---		
	Caneyville	---	---	---	---	---	---		
	Grayford	---	---	---	---	---	---		
CxhC2:									
Crider-Haggatt silt loams, 6 to 12 percent slopes, eroded	Crider	No	hill	---	---	---	---		
	Haggatt	No	hill	---	---	---	---		
	Caneyville	---	---	---	---	---	---		
	Grayford	---	---	---	---	---	---		
	Ryker	---	---	---	---	---	---		
CxmC2:									
Crider-Haggatt silt loams, karst, rolling, eroded	Crider	No	hill, sinkhole	---	---	---	---		
	Haggatt	No	hill, sinkhole	---	---	---	---		
	Loamy alluvial soil	No	---	---	---	---	---		
	Caneyville	No	---	---	---	---	---		
	Grayford	No	---	---	---	---	---		
	Ryker	No	---	---	---	---	---		

NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION SERVICE

XXX

HYDRIC SOILS LIST--Continued
Clark County, Indiana

PAGE - 8 OF 34

Map symbol and map unit name	Component	Hydric	Local landform	Hydric soils criteria				FSA Criteria and Information
				Hydric code	Meets saturation	Meets flooding	Meets ponding	Natural Condition of Soil
CxnC3:								
Crider-Haggatt complex, karst, rolling, severely eroded	Crider	No	hill, sinkhole	---	---	---	---	
	Haggatt	No	hill, sinkhole	---	---	---	---	
	Caneyville	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Loamy alluvial soil	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Grayford	No	---	---	---	---	---	
DbrG:								
Deam silty clay loam, 20 to 55 percent slopes	Deam	No	hill	---	---	---	---	

	Kurtz	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Rarden	No	---	---	---	---	---	
DdsAW: Dearborn silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, occasionally flooded, very brief duration	Dearborn	No	flood plain	---	---	---	---	
	Wirt	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Very deep, loamy colluvial soil	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Huntington	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Haymond	No	---	---	---	---	---	
DfnA: Dubois silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	Dubois	No	lake plain	---	---	---	---	
	Peoga	Yes	depression	2B3	YES	NO	NO	Wooded under natural conditions
	Haubstadt	No	lake plain	---	---	---	---	
DtvC2: Deputy-Trappist silt loams, 6 to 12 percent slopes, eroded	Deputy	No	hill, strath terrace	---	---	---	---	

NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION SERVICE

XXX

HYDRIC SOILS LIST--Continued
Clark County, Indiana

PAGE - 9 OF 34

Map symbol and map unit name	Component	Hydric	Local landform	Hydric soils criteria				FSA Criteria and Information
				Hydric code	Meets saturation	Meets flooding	Meets ponding	Natural Condition of Soil
	Trappist	No	hill, strath terrace	---	---	---	---	
	Deputy	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Jennings	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Blocher, hard bedrock substratum	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Trappist	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Deputy	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Rohan	No	---	---	---	---	---	
EbpD2: Eden silty clay loam, 12 to 25 percent slopes, eroded	Eden	No	hill	---	---	---	---	
	Carmel	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Very deep, clayey colluvial soil	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Switzerland	No	---	---	---	---	---	
EesA: Elkinsville-Millstone silt loams, 0 to 2 percent slopes	Elkinsville	No	stream terrace	---	---	---	---	
	Millstone	No	stream terrace	---	---	---	---	

	Sciotoville	No	stream terrace	---	---	---	---	
EesB:								
Elkinsville-Millstone silt loams, 2 to 6 percent slopes	Elkinsville	No	stream terrace	---	---	---	---	
	Millstone	No	stream terrace	---	---	---	---	
	Sciotoville	No	stream terrace	---	---	---	---	
EesC2:								
Elkinsville-Millstone silt loams, 6 to 12 percent slopes, eroded	Elkinsville	No	stream terrace	---	---	---	---	

NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION SERVICE

XXX

HYDRIC SOILS LIST--Continued
Clark County, Indiana

PAGE - 10 OF 34

Map symbol and map unit name	Component	Hydric	Local landform	Hydric soils criteria				FSA Criteria and Information
				Hydric code	Meets satura- tion	Meets flood- ing	Meets ponding	Natural Condition of Soil
	Millstone	No	stream terrace	---	---	---	---	
	Elkinsville	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Millstone	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Sciotoville	No	stream terrace	---	---	---	---	
EesD2:								
Elkinsville-Millstone silt loams, 12 to 18 percent slopes, eroded	Elkinsville	No	stream terrace	---	---	---	---	
	Millstone	No	stream terrace	---	---	---	---	
	Elkinsville	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Millstone	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Silty alluvial soil	No	---	---	---	---	---	
EesFQ:								
Elkinsville-Millstone silt loams, 18 to 40 percent slopes, rarely flooded	Elkinsville	No	stream terrace	---	---	---	---	
	Millstone	No	stream terrace	---	---	---	---	
	Silty alluvial soil	No	stream terrace	---	---	---	---	
EsaG:								
Eden silty clay loam, 25 to 60 percent slopes, very rocky	Eden	No	hill	---	---	---	---	
	Carmel	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Rock outcrop	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Caneyville	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Dearborn	No	---	---	---	---	---	
GgbG:								
Gilwood-Brownstown silt loams, 25 to 75	Gilwood	No	hill, knob	---	---	---	---	

percent slopes									
	Brownstown	No	hill, knob	---	---	---	---		

NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION SERVICE

XXX

HYDRIC SOILS LIST--Continued
Clark County, Indiana

PAGE - 11 OF 34

Map symbol and map unit name	Component	Hydric	Local landform	Hydric soils criteria				FSA Criteria and Information
				Hydric code	Meets saturation	Meets flood- ing	Meets ponding	Natural Condition of Soil
GgFD: Gilwood-Wraps silt loams, 6 to 18 percent slopes	Wraps	No	hill, knob	---	---	---	---	
	Gilwood	No	hill, knob	---	---	---	---	
	Shallow, loamy soil	No	hill, knob	---	---	---	---	
	Beanblossom	No	flood plain, alluvial fan	---	---	---	---	
	Rock outcrop	No	free face	---	---	---	---	
	Gilwood	No	hill, knob	---	---	---	---	
	Wraps	No	hill, knob	---	---	---	---	
	Spickert	No	hill, knob	---	---	---	---	
	Brownstown	No	hill, knob	---	---	---	---	
	Gilwood	No	hill, knob	---	---	---	---	
GgfE2: Gilwood-Wraps silt loams, 12 to 25 percent slopes, eroded	Wraps	No	hill, knob	---	---	---	---	
	Gilwood	No	hill, knob	---	---	---	---	
	Wraps	No	hill, knob	---	---	---	---	
	Brownstown	No	hill, knob	---	---	---	---	
	Knobcreek	No	hill	---	---	---	---	
	Beanblossom	No	flood plain, alluvial fan	---	---	---	---	
	Gilwood	No	hill	---	---	---	---	
	Spickert	No	hill	---	---	---	---	
	Wraps	No	hill	---	---	---	---	
	Gilwood	No	hill	---	---	---	---	
GmaG: Gnawbone-Kurtz silt loams, 20 to 60 percent slopes	Gnawbone	No	hill	---	---	---	---	
	Kurtz	No	hill	---	---	---	---	
	Coolville	No	hill	---	---	---	---	
	Wellrock	No	hill	---	---	---	---	

NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION SERVICE

XXX

HYDRIC SOILS LIST--Continued
Clark County, Indiana

PAGE - 12 OF 34

Map symbol and				Hydric soils criteria				FSA Criteria and Information

map unit name	Component	Hydric	Local landform	Hydric code	Meets satura- tion	Meets flood- ing	Meets ponding	Natural Condition of Soil
	Beanblossom	No	flood plain, alluvial fan	---	---	---	---	
	Stonehead	No	hill	---	---	---	---	
	Very deep, loamy, colluvial soil	No	hill	---	---	---	---	
GyaD2: Grayford silt loam, 12 to 25 percent slopes, eroded	Grayford	No	hill	---	---	---	---	
	Ryker	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Grayford	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Haggatt	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Caneyville	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Crider	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Hickory	No	---	---	---	---	---	
GyaD3: Grayford silt loam, 12 to 25 percent slopes, severely eroded	Grayford	No	hill	---	---	---	---	
	Ryker	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Haggatt	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Caneyville	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Crider	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Hickory	No	---	---	---	---	---	
GyaD5: Grayford silt loam, 12 to 25 percent slopes, gullied	Grayford	No	hill	---	---	---	---	
	Haggatt	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Ryker	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Gullied land	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Caneyville	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Crider	No	---	---	---	---	---	

NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION SERVICE

XXX

HYDRIC SOILS LIST--Continued
Clark County, Indiana

PAGE - 13 OF 34

Map symbol and map unit name	Component	Hydric	Local landform	Hydric soils criteria				FSA Criteria and Information
				Hydric code	Meets satura- tion	Meets flood- ing	Meets ponding	Natural Condition of Soil
Gykd2: Grayford silt loam, karst, hilly, eroded	Grayford	No	hill, sinkhole	---	---	---	---	
	Ryker	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Crider	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Grayford	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Loamy alluvial soil	No	---	---	---	---	---	

	Caneyville	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Haggatt	No	---	---	---	---	---	
GykD3: Grayford silt loam, karst, hilly, severely eroded	Grayford	No	hill, sinkhole	---	---	---	---	
	Ryker	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Haggatt	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Loamy alluvial soil	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Caneyville	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Crider	No	---	---	---	---	---	
HcaA: Hatfield silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	Hatfield	No	stream terrace	---	---	---	---	
	Sciotoville	No	stream terrace	---	---	---	---	
	Ginat	Yes	depression	2B3	YES	NO	NO	Wooded under natural conditions
HccB2: Haubstadt silt loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes, eroded	Haubstadt	No	lake plain	---	---	---	---	
	Dubois	No	lake plain	---	---	---	---	
	Wakeland	No	flood plain	---	---	---	---	
	Haubstadt	No	lake plain	---	---	---	---	

NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION SERVICE

XXX

HYDRIC SOILS LIST--Continued
Clark County, Indiana

PAGE - 14 OF 34

Map symbol and map unit name	Component	Hydric	Local landform	Hydric soils criteria				FSA Criteria and Information
				Hydric code	Meets satura- tion	Meets flood- ing	Meets ponding	Natural Condition of Soil
HcdC2: Haubstadt-Shircliff silt loams, 6 to 15 percent slopes, eroded	Haubstadt	No	lake plain	---	---	---	---	
	Shircliff	No	lake plain	---	---	---	---	
	Haubstadt	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Shircliff	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Very deep, well drained soil	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Wakeland	No	---	---	---	---	---	
HceC3: Haubstadt-Shircliff complex, 6 to 15 percent slopes, severely eroded	Haubstadt	No	lake plain	---	---	---	---	
	Shircliff	No	lake plain	---	---	---	---	
	Haubstadt	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Shircliff	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Very deep, well drained	No	---	---	---	---	---	

	soil								
	Wakeland	No	---	---	---	---	---		
HcgAH: Haymond silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, frequently flooded, brief duration	Haymond	No	flood plain, natural levee	---	---	---	---		
	Wirt	No	flood plain	---	---	---	---		
	Wilbur	No	flood plain	---	---	---	---		
HcgAV: Haymond silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, frequently flooded, very brief duration	Haymond	No	flood plain	---	---	---	---		
	Wirt	No	flood plain	---	---	---	---		
	Wilbur	No	flood plain	---	---	---	---		

NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION SERVICE

XXX

HYDRIC SOILS LIST--Continued
Clark County, Indiana

PAGE - 15 OF 34

Map symbol and map unit name	Component	Hydric	Local landform	Hydric soils criteria				FSA Criteria and Information
				Hydric code	Meets satura- tion	Meets flood- ing	Meets ponding	Natural Condition of Soil
HcgAW: Haymond silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, occasionally flooded, very brief duration	Haymond	No	flood plain, natural levee, flood-plain step	---	---	---	---	
	Wirt	No	flood plain, flood-plain step	---	---	---	---	
	Wilbur	No	flood plain, flood-plain step	---	---	---	---	
	Haymond	No	flood plain	---	---	---	---	
HerE: Hickory-Bonnell complex, 12 to 25 percent slopes	Hickory	No	till plain	---	---	---	---	
	Bonnell	No	till plain	---	---	---	---	
	Hickory	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Blocher	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Cincinnati	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Holton	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Rohan	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Jessietown	No	---	---	---	---	---	
Htwd2: Haggatt-Caneyville silt loams, 12 to 25 percent slopes, eroded	Haggatt	No	hill	---	---	---	---	
	Caneyville	No	hill	---	---	---	---	
	Crider	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Haggatt	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Caneyville	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Rock outcrop	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Grayford	No	---	---	---	---	---	

HtzD3: Haggatt-Caneyville complex, 12 to 25 percent slopes, severely eroded	Haggatt	No	hill	---	---	---	---	
---	---------	----	------	-----	-----	-----	-----	--

NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION SERVICE

XXX

HYDRIC SOILS LIST--Continued
Clark County, Indiana

PAGE - 16 OF 34

Map symbol and map unit name	Component	Hydric	Local landform	Hydric soils criteria				FSA Criteria and Information
				Hydric code	Meets satura- tion	Meets flood- ing	Meets ponding	Natural Condition of Soil
	Caneyville	No	hill	---	---	---	---	
	Crider	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Rock outcrop	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Grayford	No	---	---	---	---	---	
HufAK: Huntington silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, occasionally flooded, brief duration	Huntington	No	flood plain, natural levee	---	---	---	---	
	Huntington	No	flood plain	---	---	---	---	
	Lindside	No	flood plain	---	---	---	---	
HuhD2: Haggatt-Caneyville silt loams, karst, hilly, eroded	Haggatt	No	hill, sinkhole	---	---	---	---	
	Caneyville	No	hill, sinkhole	---	---	---	---	
	Caneyville	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Crider	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Haggatt	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Loamy, alluvial soils	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Rock outcrop	No	---	---	---	---	---	
HujD3: Haggatt-Caneyville complex, karst, hilly, severely eroded	Haggatt	No	hill, sinkhole	---	---	---	---	
	Caneyville	No	hill, sinkhole	---	---	---	---	
	Crider	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Loamy, alluvial soils	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Rock outcrop	No	---	---	---	---	---	
JaeB2: Jennings silt loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes, eroded	Jennings	No	till plain	---	---	---	---	

NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION SERVICE

XXX

HYDRIC SOILS LIST--Continued
Clark County, Indiana

PAGE - 17 OF 34

				Hydric soils criteria				FSA Criteria
--	--	--	--	-----------------------	--	--	--	-----------------

Map symbol and map unit name	Component	Hydric	Local landform	Hydric soils criteria				FSA Criteria and Information
				Hydric code	Meets satura- tion	Meets flood- ing	Meets ponding	Natural Condition of Soil
JafC2: Jennings-Blocher hard bedrock substratum, silt loams, 6 to 12 percent slopes, eroded	Deputy	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Cincinnati	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Very deep, somewhat poorly drained, silty soil	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Jennings	No	till plain	---	---	---	---	
	Blocher, hard bedrock substratum	No	till plain	---	---	---	---	
	Jennings	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Blocher, hard bedrock substratum	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Deputy	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Wakeland	No	---	---	---	---	---	
JafC3: Jennings-Blocher hard bedrock substratum, silt loams, 6 to 12 percent slopes, severely eroded	Jennings	No	till plain	---	---	---	---	
	Blocher, hard bedrock substratum	No	till plain	---	---	---	---	
	Jennings	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Blocher, hard bedrock substratum	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Deputy	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Wakeland	No	---	---	---	---	---	
KxkC2: Knobcreek-Navilleton silt loams, 6 to 12 percent slopes, eroded	Knobcreek	No	hill	---	---	---	---	
	Navilleton	No	hill	---	---	---	---	

NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION SERVICE

XXX

HYDRIC SOILS LIST--Continued
Clark County, Indiana

PAGE - 18 OF 34

Map symbol and map unit name	Component	Hydric	Local landform	Hydric soils criteria				FSA Criteria and Information
				Hydric code	Meets satura- tion	Meets flood- ing	Meets ponding	Natural Condition of Soil
	Haggatt	No	hill	---	---	---	---	
	Caneyville	No	hill	---	---	---	---	
	Crider	No	hill	---	---	---	---	
	Very deep, silty soil	No	hill	---	---	---	---	

	Bedford	No	hill	---	---	---	---	
KxlC3:								
Knobcreek-Haggatt-Caneyville complex, 6 to 12 percent slopes, severely eroded	Knobcreek	No	hill	---	---	---	---	
	Haggatt	No	hill	---	---	---	---	
	Caneyville	No	hill	---	---	---	---	
	Navilleton	No	hill	---	---	---	---	
	Crider	No	hill	---	---	---	---	
KxlE3:								
Knobcreek-Haggatt-Caneyville complex, 12 to 25 percent slopes, severely eroded	Knobcreek	No	hill	---	---	---	---	
	Haggatt	No	hill	---	---	---	---	
	Caneyville	No	hill	---	---	---	---	
	Navilleton	No	hill	---	---	---	---	
	Crider	No	hill	---	---	---	---	
	Very deep, loamy, colluvial soil	No	hill	---	---	---	---	
	Beanblossom, hard bedrock substratum	No	flood plain	---	---	---	---	
KxmE2:								
Knobcreek-Haggatt-Caneyville silt loams, 12 to 25 percent slopes, eroded	Knobcreek	No	hill	---	---	---	---	
	Haggatt	No	hill	---	---	---	---	
	Caneyville	No	hill	---	---	---	---	
NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION SERVICE								
XXX								

HYDRIC SOILS LIST--Continued
Clark County, Indiana

PAGE - 19 OF 34

Map symbol and map unit name	Component	Hydric	Local landform	Hydric soils criteria				FSA Criteria and Information
				Hydric code	Meets saturation	Meets flooding	Meets ponding	Natural Condition of Soil
	Very deep, loamy colluvial soil	No	hill	---	---	---	---	
	Crider	No	hill	---	---	---	---	
KxoC2:								
Knobcreek-Navilleton-Haggatt silt loams, karst, rolling, eroded	Knobcreek	No	sinkhole	---	---	---	---	
	Navilleton	No	sinkhole	---	---	---	---	
	Haggatt	No	sinkhole	---	---	---	---	
	Caneyville	No	sinkhole	---	---	---	---	
	Crider	No	sinkhole	---	---	---	---	
	Haymond	No	sinkhole	---	---	---	---	
KxpD2:								
Knobcreek-Haggatt-	Knobcreek	No	sinkhole	---	---	---	---	

Caneyville silt loams, karst, hilly, eroded								
	Haggatt	No	sinkhole	---	---	---	---	
	Caneyville	No	sinkhole	---	---	---	---	
	Haymond	No	sinkhole	---	---	---	---	
LpoAK:								
Lindside silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, occasionally flooded, brief duration	Lindside	No	flood plain	---	---	---	---	
	Newark	No	flood plain	---	---	---	---	
	Huntington	No	flood plain, natural levee	---	---	---	---	
McgC2:								
Markland silt loam, 6 to 12 percent slopes, eroded	Markland	No	lake plain	---	---	---	---	
	Markland	No	lake plain	---	---	---	---	
	Percell	No	lake plain	---	---	---	---	
	Shircliff	No	lake plain	---	---	---	---	
	Markland	No	lake plain	---	---	---	---	

NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION SERVICE

XXX

HYDRIC SOILS LIST--Continued
Clark County, Indiana

PAGE - 20 OF 34

Map symbol and map unit name	Component	Hydric	Local landform	Hydric soils criteria				FSA Criteria and Information
				Hydric code	Meets saturation	Meets flooding	Meets ponding	Natural Condition of Soil
McngQ:								
Markland silt loam, 18 to 50 percent slopes, eroded, rarely flooded	Markland	No	lake plain	---	---	---	---	
	Markland	No	lake plain	---	---	---	---	
McpC3:								
Markland silty clay loam, 6 to 12 percent slopes, severely eroded	Markland	No	lake plain	---	---	---	---	
	Markland	No	lake plain	---	---	---	---	
	Percell	No	lake plain	---	---	---	---	
	Shircliff	No	lake plain	---	---	---	---	
	Markland	No	lake plain	---	---	---	---	
	Wilbur	No	flood plain, flood-plain step	---	---	---	---	
McuDQ:								
Markland silty clay loam, 12 to 25 percent slopes, severely eroded, rarely flooded	Markland	No	lake plain	---	---	---	---	
	Markland	No	lake plain	---	---	---	---	
	Shircliff	No	lake plain	---	---	---	---	
MdqDQ:								
Markland silt loam, 12 to 25 percent slopes, eroded, rarely flooded	Markland	No	lake terrace	---	---	---	---	

	Markland	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Shircliff	No	---	---	---	---	---	
MhuA:								
McGary silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	McGary	No	lake plain, lake terrace	---	---	---	---	
	Shircliff	No	lake plain	---	---	---	---	
MhyA:								
Medora silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	Medora	No	esker	---	---	---	---	
	Parke	No	---	---	---	---	---	

NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION SERVICE

XXX

HYDRIC SOILS LIST--Continued
Clark County, Indiana

PAGE - 21 OF 34

Map symbol and map unit name	Component	Hydric	Local landform	Hydric soils criteria				FSA Criteria and Information
				Hydric code	Meets saturation	Meets flooding	Meets ponding	Natural Condition of Soil
MhyB2:								
Medora silt loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes, eroded	Medora	No	esker	---	---	---	---	
	Parke	No	esker	---	---	---	---	
	Medora	No	esker	---	---	---	---	
MhyC2:								
Medora silt loam, 6 to 12 percent slopes, eroded	Medora	No	esker	---	---	---	---	
	Medora	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Parke	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Medora	No	---	---	---	---	---	
MhyC3:								
Medora silt loam, 6 to 12 percent slopes, severely eroded	Medora	No	esker	---	---	---	---	
	Medora	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Parke	No	---	---	---	---	---	
MsvA:								
Montgomery silty clay loam, 0 to 1 percent slopes	Montgomery	Yes	depression, lake plain	3,2B3	YES	NO	YES	Wooded under natural conditions
	Montgomery, overwash	Yes	depression	2B3	YES	NO	NO	Wooded under natural conditions
	Montgomery, undrained	Yes	depression	3,2B3	YES	NO	YES	Wooded under natural conditions
	Zipp	Yes	depression, lake plain	3,2B3	YES	NO	YES	Wooded under natural conditions
	McGary	No	lake plain	---	---	---	---	
NaaA:								
Nabb silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	Nabb	No	till plain	---	---	---	---	
	Avonburg	No	till plain	---	---	---	---	

NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION SERVICE

XXX

HYDRIC SOILS LIST--Continued
Clark County, Indiana

PAGE - 22 OF 34

Map symbol and map unit name	Component	Hydric	Local landform	Hydric soils criteria				FSA Criteria and Information
				Hydric code	Meets satura- tion	Meets flood- ing	Meets ponding	Natural Condition of Soil
NaaB2: Nabb silt loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes, eroded	Nabb	No	till plain	---	---	---	---	
	Cincinnati	No	till plain	---	---	---	---	
	Avonburg	No	till plain	---	---	---	---	
	Wakeland	No	flood plain	---	---	---	---	
NbhAK: Newark silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, occasionally flooded, brief duration	Newark	No	flood plain	---	---	---	---	
	Lindside	No	flood plain	---	---	---	---	
	Willhite	Yes	backswamp	2B3,3	YES	NO	YES	Wooded under natural conditions
OfbAW: Oldenburg loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, occasionally flooded, very brief duration	Oldenburg	No	flood plain, flood-plain step	---	---	---	---	
	Holton	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Oldenburg	No	---	---	---	---	---	
PcrB2: Pekin silt loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes, eroded	Pekin	No	stream terrace	---	---	---	---	
	Bartle	No	stream terrace	---	---	---	---	
	Elkinsville	No	stream terrace	---	---	---	---	
PcrC2: Pekin silt loam, 6 to 12 percent slopes, eroded	Pekin	No	stream terrace	---	---	---	---	
	Pekin	No	stream terrace	---	---	---	---	
	Elkinsville	No	stream terrace	---	---	---	---	
	Pekin	No	stream terrace	---	---	---	---	
	Stendal	No	flood plain	---	---	---	---	

NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION SERVICE

XXX

HYDRIC SOILS LIST--Continued
Clark County, Indiana

PAGE - 23 OF 34

Map symbol and map unit name	Component	Hydric	Local landform	Hydric soils criteria				FSA Criteria and Information
				Hydric code	Meets satura- tion	Meets flood- ing	Meets ponding	Natural Condition of Soil

PcrC3:									
Pekin silt loam, 6 to 12 percent slopes, severely eroded	Pekin	No	stream terrace	---	---	---	---		
	Pekin	No	stream terrace	---	---	---	---		
	Elkinsville	No	stream terrace	---	---	---	---		
	Pekin	No	stream terrace	---	---	---	---		
	Stendal	No	flood plain	---	---	---	---		
PhaA:									
Peoga silt loam, 0 to 1 percent slopes	Peoga	Yes	lake plain, stream terrace	2B3	YES	NO	NO	Wooded under natural conditions	
	Peoga	Yes	lake plain, stream terrace	2B3,3	YES	NO	YES	Wooded under natural conditions	
	Dubois	No	lake plain	---	---	---	---		
	Bartle	No	stream terrace	---	---	---	---		
Pml:									
Pits, quarry	Pits, quarry	No	---	---	---	---	---		
	Udorthents	No	hill	---	---	---	---		
	Water	No	---	---	---	---	---		
Ppu:									
Pits, sand and gravel	Pits, sand and gravel	No	---	---	---	---	---		
	Udorthents, loamy	No	hill	---	---	---	---		
	Water	No	---	---	---	---	---		
Rb1D3:									
Rarden silty clay loam, 12 to 18 percent slopes, severely eroded	Rarden	No	hill	---	---	---	---		
	Rarden	No	hill	---	---	---	---		
	Coolville	No	hill	---	---	---	---		
	Deam	No	hill	---	---	---	---		

NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION SERVICE

XXX

HYDRIC SOILS LIST--Continued
Clark County, Indiana

PAGE - 24 OF 34

Map symbol and map unit name	Component	Hydric	Local landform	Hydric soils criteria				FSA Criteria and Information
				Hydric code	Meets saturation	Meets flood-ing	Meets ponding	Natural Condition of Soil
	Blocher, soft bedrock substratum	No	till plain	---	---	---	---	
RbmD5:								
Rarden silty clay, 6 to 18 percent slopes, gullied	Rarden	No	hill	---	---	---	---	
	Coolville	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Rarden	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Deam	No	---	---	---	---	---	
RptG:								

Rohan-Jessietown complex, 25 to 60 percent slopes, rocky	Rohan	No	hill	---	---	---	---
	Jessietown	No	hill	---	---	---	---
	Rock outcrop	No	---	---	---	---	---
	Rohan	No	---	---	---	---	---
	Hickory	No	---	---	---	---	---
	Trappist	No	---	---	---	---	---
RtcA: Ryker silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	Ryker	No	hill	---	---	---	---
	Cincinnati	No	---	---	---	---	---
RtcB2: Ryker silt loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes, eroded	Ryker	No	hill	---	---	---	---
	Cincinnati	No	---	---	---	---	---
	Ryker	No	---	---	---	---	---
RzrB2: Ryker silt loam, karst, undulating, eroded	Ryker	No	hill, sinkhole	---	---	---	---
	Very deep, moderately well drained, silty soil	No	---	---	---	---	---
	Cincinnati	No	---	---	---	---	---
	Ryker	No	---	---	---	---	---

NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION SERVICE

XXX

HYDRIC SOILS LIST--Continued
Clark County, Indiana

PAGE - 25 OF 34

Map symbol and map unit name	Component	Hydric	Local landform	Hydric soils criteria				FSA Criteria and Information
				Hydric code	Meets saturation	Meets flooding	Meets ponding	Natural Condition of Soil
RztC2: Ryker-Grayford silt loams, 6 to 12 percent slopes, eroded	Ryker	No	hill	---	---	---	---	
	Grayford	No	hill	---	---	---	---	
	Cincinnati	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Crider	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Caneyville	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Haggatt	No	---	---	---	---	---	
RztC3: Ryker-Grayford silt loams, 6 to 12 percent slopes, severely eroded	Ryker	No	hill	---	---	---	---	
	Grayford	No	hill	---	---	---	---	
	Cincinnati	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Crider	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Caneyville	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Haggatt	No	---	---	---	---	---	
RzvC2:								

Ryker-Grayford silt loams, karst, rolling, eroded	Ryker	No	hill, sinkhole	---	---	---	---
	Grayford	No	hill, sinkhole	---	---	---	---
	Cincinnati	No	---	---	---	---	---
	Crider	No	---	---	---	---	---
	Caneyville	No	---	---	---	---	---
	Haggatt	No	---	---	---	---	---
	Loamy alluvial soil	No	---	---	---	---	---
RzvC3: Ryker-Grayford silt loams, karst, rolling, severely eroded	Ryker	No	hill, sinkhole	---	---	---	---

NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION SERVICE

XXX

HYDRIC SOILS LIST--Continued
Clark County, Indiana

PAGE - 26 OF 34

Map symbol and map unit name	Component	Hydric	Local landform	Hydric soils criteria				FSA Criteria and Information
				Hydric code	Meets saturation	Meets flooding	Meets ponding	Natural Condition of Soil
	Grayford	No	hill, sinkhole	---	---	---	---	
	Cincinnati	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Crider	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Caneyville	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Haggatt	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Loamy alluvial soil	No	---	---	---	---	---	
SceB2: Scottsburg silt loam, 2 to 4 percent slopes, eroded	Scottsburg	No	strath terrace	---	---	---	---	
	Deputy	No	strath terrace, hill	---	---	---	---	
	Trappist	No	hill, strath terrace	---	---	---	---	
SfyB: Shircliff silt loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes	Shircliff	No	lake plain	---	---	---	---	
	Percell	No	lake plain	---	---	---	---	
	McGary	No	lake plain	---	---	---	---	
	Markland	No	lake plain	---	---	---	---	
SoaB: Spickert silt loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes	Spickert	No	hill	---	---	---	---	
	Wrays	No	hill	---	---	---	---	
SodB: Spickert silt loam, terrace, 1 to 4 percent slopes	Spickert	No	strath terrace	---	---	---	---	
	Bartle	No	strath terrace	---	---	---	---	
SoIC2:								

Spickert-Wrays silt loams, 6 to 12 percent slopes, eroded	Spickert	No	hill, knob	---	---	---	---	
	Wrays	No	hill	---	---	---	---	
	Gilwood	No	hill, knob	---	---	---	---	

NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION SERVICE

XXX

HYDRIC SOILS LIST--Continued
Clark County, Indiana

PAGE - 27 OF 34

Map symbol and map unit name	Component	Hydric	Local landform	Hydric soils criteria				FSA Criteria and Information
				Hydric code	Meets saturation	Meets flooding	Meets ponding	Natural Condition of Soil
StaAQ: Steff silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, rarely flooded	Spickert	No	hill, knob	---	---	---	---	
	Wrays	No	hill	---	---	---	---	
	Wrays	No	hill, knob	---	---	---	---	
	Steff	No	flood-plain step	---	---	---	---	
	Stendal	No	flood-plain step	---	---	---	---	
	Cuba	No	flood-plain step	---	---	---	---	
	Steff	No	flood plain, flood-plain step	---	---	---	---	
StdAQ: Stendal silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, rarely flooded	Stendal	No	flood-plain step	---	---	---	---	
	Bonnie	Yes	backswamp, flood plain	2B3	YES	NO	NO	Wooded under natural conditions
	Steff	No	flood-plain step	---	---	---	---	
	Stendal	No	flood plain, flood-plain step	---	---	---	---	
	Stendal	No	flood plain, flood-plain step	---	---	---	---	
StdAW: Stendal silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, occasionally flooded, very brief duration	Stendal	No	flood plain, flood-plain step	---	---	---	---	
	Bonnie	Yes	backswamp	2B3	YES	NO	NO	Wooded under natural conditions
	Steff	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Piopolis	Yes	backswamp	2B3	YES	NO	NO	Wooded under natural conditions
	Stendal	No	---	---	---	---	---	

NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION SERVICE

XXX

HYDRIC SOILS LIST--Continued
Clark County, Indiana

PAGE - 28 OF 34

				Hydric soils criteria				FSA Criteria

Map symbol and map unit name	Component	Hydric	Local landform	Hydric soils criteria				FSA Criteria and Information
				Hydric code	Meets satura- tion	Meets flood- ing	Meets ponding	Natural Condition of Soil
ThaC2: Trappist silt loam, 6 to 12 percent slopes, eroded	Trappist	No	hill, strath terrace	---	---	---	---	
	Trappist	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Deputy	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Scottsburg	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Rohan	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Trappist	No	---	---	---	---	---	
ThbC3: Trappist silty clay loam, 6 to 12 percent slopes, severely eroded	Trappist	No	hill, strath terrace	---	---	---	---	
	Trappist	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Deputy	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Rohan	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Scottsburg	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Trappist	No	---	---	---	---	---	
ThbD5: Trappist silty clay loam, 6 to 18 percent slopes, gullied	Trappist	No	hill, strath terrace	---	---	---	---	
	Deputy	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Trappist	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Rohan	No	---	---	---	---	---	
ThcD3: Trappist-Rohan complex, 12 to 25 percent slopes, severely eroded	Trappist	No	hill, strath terrace	---	---	---	---	
	Rohan	No	hill, strath terrace	---	---	---	---	
	Trappist	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Deputy	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Rohan	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Trappist	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Stendal	No	---	---	---	---	---	

NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION SERVICE

XXX

HYDRIC SOILS LIST--Continued
Clark County, Indiana

PAGE - 29 OF 34

Map symbol and map unit name	Component	Hydric	Local landform	Hydric soils criteria				FSA Criteria and Information
				Hydric code	Meets satura- tion	Meets flood- ing	Meets ponding	Natural Condition of Soil
ThdD: Trappist-Rohan silt loams, 12 to 25 percent slopes	Trappist	No	hill, strath terrace	---	---	---	---	
	Rohan	No	hill, strath terrace	---	---	---	---	

TsaC3: Trappist-Deputy complex, 6 to 12 percent slopes, severely eroded	Deputy	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Trappist	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Rohan	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Stendal	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Trappist	No	hill, strath terrace	---	---	---	---	
	Deputy	No	hill, strath terrace	---	---	---	---	
	Trappist	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Deputy	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Jennings	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Blocher, hard bedrock substratum	No	---	---	---	---	---	
Uaa: Udorthents, cut and filled	Trappist	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Udorthents, cut and filled	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Urban land	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Very deep, poorly and somewhat poorly drained aquents	Yes	depression	2B3	YES	NO	NO	Wooded under natural conditions
	Rock outcrops	No	---	---	---	---	---	

NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION SERVICE

XXX

HYDRIC SOILS LIST--Continued
Clark County, Indiana

PAGE - 30 OF 34

Map symbol and map unit name	Component	Hydric	Local landform	Hydric soils criteria				FSA Criteria and Information
				Hydric code	Meets satura- tion	Meets flood- ing	Meets ponding	Natural Condition of Soil
UaoAK: Udifluvents, cut and filled-Urban land complex, 0 to 2 percent slopes, occasionally flooded, brief duration	Udifluvents, cut and filled	No	flood plain	---	---	---	---	
	Urban land	No	flood plain	---	---	---	---	
	Huntington	No	flood plain, natural levee	---	---	---	---	
	McAdoo	No	flood plain	---	---	---	---	
	Lindside	No	flood plain	---	---	---	---	
	Newark	No	flood plain	---	---	---	---	
UedA: Urban land-Aquents, clayey substratum, complex, lake plain, 0 to 3 percent slopes	Urban land	No	lake plain	---	---	---	---	
	Aquents, clayey substratum	No	lake plain	---	---	---	---	
	Montgomery	Yes	lake plain	2B3,3	YES	NO	YES	Wooded under

								natural conditions
	McGary	No	lake plain	---	---	---	---	
	Percell	No	lake plain	---	---	---	---	
	Shircliff	No	lake plain	---	---	---	---	
	Zipp	Yes	lake plain	2B3	YES	NO	NO	Wooded under natural conditions
UndAY:								
Urban land-Udifluvents	Urban land	No	flood plain	---	---	---	---	
complex, leveed, 0 to 2 percent slopes								
	Udifluvents	No	flood plain	---	---	---	---	
	Huntington	No	flood plain, natural levee	---	---	---	---	
	Lindside	No	flood plain	---	---	---	---	
	Newark	No	flood plain	---	---	---	---	

NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION SERVICE

XXX

HYDRIC SOILS LIST--Continued
Clark County, Indiana

PAGE - 31 OF 34

Map symbol and map unit name	Component	Hydric	Local landform	Hydric soils criteria				FSA Criteria and Information
				Hydric code	Meets satura- tion	Meets flood- ing	Meets ponding	Natural Condition of Soil
UngB:								
Urban land-Udarents,	Urban land	No	till plain	---	---	---	---	
fragipan substratum,								
complex, till plain,								
0 to 12 percent								
slopes								
	Udarents,	No	till plain	---	---	---	---	
	fragipan							
	substratum							
	Avonburg	No	till plain	---	---	---	---	
	Cincinnati	No	till plain	---	---	---	---	
	Nabb	No	till plain	---	---	---	---	
	Blocher	No	till plain	---	---	---	---	
	Jennings	No	till plain	---	---	---	---	
	Deputy	No	hill, strath terrace	---	---	---	---	
	Scottsburg	No	strath terrace	---	---	---	---	
	Trappist	No	hill, strath terrace	---	---	---	---	
UnkB:								
Urban land-Udarents,	Urban land	No	stream terrace	---	---	---	---	
silty substratum,								
complex, terrace, 0								
to 6 percent slopes								
	Udarents,	No	stream terrace	---	---	---	---	
	silty							
	substratum							
	Pekin	No	stream terrace	---	---	---	---	
	Bartle	No	stream terrace	---	---	---	---	
	Wakeland	No	flood plain, flood-plain	---	---	---	---	

			step					
	Beanblossom	No	flood plain, alluvial fan	---	---	---	---	
UnpA: Urban land-Udarents, loamy substratum, complex, terrace, 0 to 3 percent slopes	Urban land	No	stream terrace	---	---	---	---	

NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION SERVICE

XXX

HYDRIC SOILS LIST--Continued
Clark County, Indiana

PAGE - 32 OF 34

Map symbol and map unit name	Component	Hydric	Local landform	Hydric soils criteria				FSA Criteria and Information
				Hydric code	Meets satura- tion	Meets flood- ing	Meets ponding	Natural Condition of Soil
	Udarents, loamy substratum	No	stream terrace	---	---	---	---	
	Elkinsville	No	stream terrace	---	---	---	---	
	Millstone	No	stream terrace	---	---	---	---	
	Sciotoville	No	stream terrace	---	---	---	---	
	Hatfield	No	stream terrace	---	---	---	---	
UnsB: Urban land-Udarents, clayey substratum, complex, hills, 2 to 10 percent slopes	Urban land	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Udarents, clayey substratum	No	hill	---	---	---	---	
	Crider	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Ryker	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Haggatt	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Cincinnati	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Jennings	No	---	---	---	---	---	
W: Water	Water	Unranked	---	---	---	---	---	
WaaAV: Wakeland silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, frequently flooded, very brief duration	Wakeland	No	flood plain	---	---	---	---	
	Birds	Yes	backswamp	2B3,3	YES	NO	YES	Wooded under natural conditions
	Wilbur	No	flood plain	---	---	---	---	
WaaAW: Wakeland silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, occasionally flooded, very brief duration	Wakeland	No	flood plain, flood-plain step	---	---	---	---	

NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION SERVICE

XXX

HYDRIC SOILS LIST--Continued
Clark County, Indiana

PAGE - 33 OF 34

Map symbol and map unit name	Component	Hydric	Local landform	Hydric soils criteria				FSA Criteria and Information
				Hydric code	Meets satura- tion	Meets flood- ing	Meets ponding	Natural Condition of Soil
WedB2: Weddel silt loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes, eroded WhcD: Wellrock-Gnawbone silt loams, 6 to 20 percent slopes WnmA: Whitcomb silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes WokAV: Wilbur silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, frequently flooded, very brief duration WokAW: Wilbur silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, occasionally flooded, very brief duration	Birds	Yes	backswamp	3,2B3	YES	NO	YES	Wooded under natural conditions
	Wilbur	No	flood plain, flood-plain step	---	---	---	---	
	Wakeland	No	flood plain	---	---	---	---	
	Weddel	No	till plain	---	---	---	---	
	Coolville	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Wellrock	No	hill	---	---	---	---	
	Gnawbone	No	hill	---	---	---	---	
	Spickert	No	hill	---	---	---	---	
	Coolville	No	hill	---	---	---	---	
	Whitcomb	No	strath terrace	---	---	---	---	
	Scottsburg	No	---	---	---	---	---	
	Very deep, poorly drained, silty soil	Yes	depression	2B3	YES	NO	NO	Wooded under natural conditions
WokAW: Wilbur silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, occasionally flooded, very brief duration	Wilbur	No	flood plain	---	---	---	---	
	Haymond	No	flood plain	---	---	---	---	
	Wakeland	No	flood plain	---	---	---	---	
	Wilbur	No	flood plain, flood-plain step	---	---	---	---	
	Wakeland	No	flood plain, flood-plain step	---	---	---	---	

NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION SERVICE

XXX

HYDRIC SOILS LIST--Continued
Clark County, Indiana

PAGE - 34 OF 34

Map symbol and map unit name	Component	Hydric	Local landform	Hydric soils criteria				FSA Criteria and Information
				Hydric code	Meets satura- tion	Meets flood- ing	Meets ponding	Natural Condition of Soil
	Wilbur	No	flood plain	---	---	---	---	
	Haymond	No	flood plain, natural levee, flood-plain	---	---	---	---	

			step					
WprAW:								
Wirt loam, 0 to 2	Wirt	No	flood plain,	---	---	---	---	
percent slopes,			flood-plain					
occasionally flooded,			step					
very brief duration								
	Haymond	No	flood plain,	---	---	---	---	
			natural					
			levee,					
			flood-plain					
			step					
	Wirt	No	flood plain	---	---	---	---	
	Oldenburg	No	flood plain	---	---	---	---	